IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.2293 OF 1986
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.767 OF 1988

Kamlakar B. Rane .. Petitioner
Versus
Authorised Chief Settlement
Commissioner and Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.R.S.Apte for petitioner

CORAM : A.P.SHAH AND
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 17th January 2005.

P.C.

. Mr.Apte mentions this matter before us for speaking to the minutes. He points out the urgency inasmuch as, although, the judgement was pronounced by us in Chambers on 12th January 2005, inadvertantly on the judgement the date is shown as 22nd December 2004.

2. He further points out that when the judgement was pronounced he had applied for continuation of interim orders in both matters and we had granted such continuation for a period of 8 weeks in the presence of Mr.Bookwala, learned Counsel appearing for other side. However, at para 29 page 26 of this judgement, the period

instead of 8 weeks is mentioned as four weeks erroneously. He, therefore, seeks a correction insofar as the date of judgement is concerned and the period mentioned above. Mr.Apte circulates this matter urgently before us as he apprehends that by virtue of dismissal of First Appeal as well as writ petition steps would be taken to execute the decree passed by the trial court forthwith. He submits that in the absence of correction it would mean that interim orders will come to an end on 19th January 2005.

3. In the light of the application made for speaking to the minutes and the urgency we make the following corrections, although other side is not present. In our view no prejudice would be caused if typographical errors are corrected. Furthermore, one of us (A.P.Shah, J) is not available from tomorrow. Hence, the following order.

a) The date of judgement be read as 12th January 2005 instead of 22nd December 2004.

b) The period of four weeks in para 29 page 26 be read as eight weeks. Rest of the order remains the same. Application for speaking to the

minutes is disposed of.

*****